

# Asymptotic analysis of some PDE's

Senoussi Guesmia

Qassim University, Saudi Arabia

2ème Workshop Algéro-français EDP et Applications, 2017,  
TLEMCEM

We consider, on  $\Omega = (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$ , a diffusion problem where the diffusion velocity is very small in the direction  $x_1$  ( $\varepsilon \simeq 0$ )

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon^2 \partial_{x_1}^2 u_\varepsilon - \partial_{x_2}^2 u_\varepsilon = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_\varepsilon = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We consider, on  $\Omega = (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$ , a diffusion problem where the diffusion velocity is very small in the direction  $x_1$  ( $\varepsilon \simeq 0$ )

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon^2 \partial_{x_1}^2 u_\varepsilon - \partial_{x_2}^2 u_\varepsilon = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_\varepsilon = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

When  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  the candidate limit is  $u_0$  solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_{x_2}^2 u_0(\cdot, x_1) = f & \text{dans } (0, 1), \\ u_\varepsilon = 0 & \text{sur } \{0, 1\}. \end{cases}$$

We consider, on  $\Omega = (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$ , a diffusion problem where the diffusion velocity is very small in the direction  $x_1$  ( $\varepsilon \simeq 0$ )

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon^2 \partial_{x_1}^2 u_\varepsilon - \partial_{x_2}^2 u_\varepsilon = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_\varepsilon = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

When  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  the candidate limit is  $u_0$  solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_{x_2}^2 u_0(\cdot, x_1) = f & \text{dans } (0, 1), \\ u_\varepsilon = 0 & \text{sur } \{0, 1\}. \end{cases}$$

Different issues are developed around the study of the limit

$$u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u_0 \text{ lorsque } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

$X_1 \in \mathbb{R}^p, X_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-p}, \Omega : \text{a bounded open subset of } \mathbb{R}^n.$

$\Omega_{X_1} = \{ X_2 \mid (X_1, X_2) \in \Omega \}, \Omega_{X_2} = \{ X_1 \mid (X_1, X_2) \in \Omega \}.$

$X_1 \in \mathbb{R}^p, X_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-p}, \Omega$  : a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

$\Omega_{X_1} = \{ X_2 \mid (X_1, X_2) \in \Omega \}, \Omega_{X_2} = \{ X_1 \mid (X_1, X_2) \in \Omega \}$ .

Let  $A = (a_{ij}(x))$  be a  $n \times n$  definite positive matrix. We decompose  $A$  into four blocks by writing

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A_{11}, A_{22}$  are respectively  $p \times p$  and  $(n-p) \times (n-p)$  matrices.

$X_1 \in \mathbb{R}^p, X_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-p}, \Omega$  : a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  
 $\Omega_{X_1} = \{ X_2 \mid (X_1, X_2) \in \Omega \}, \Omega_{X_2} = \{ X_1 \mid (X_1, X_2) \in \Omega \}$ .  
 Let  $A = (a_{ij}(x))$  be a  $n \times n$  definite positive matrix. We decompose  $A$  into four blocks by writing

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A_{11}, A_{22}$  are respectively  $p \times p$  and  $(n-p) \times (n-p)$  matrices. We then define for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the perturbed matrix,

$$A_\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^2 A_{11} & \varepsilon A_{12} \\ \varepsilon A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$X_1 \in \mathbb{R}^p, X_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-p}, \Omega$  : a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  
 $\Omega_{X_1} = \{ X_2 \mid (X_1, X_2) \in \Omega \}, \Omega_{X_2} = \{ X_1 \mid (X_1, X_2) \in \Omega \}$ .  
 Let  $A = (a_{ij}(x))$  be a  $n \times n$  definite positive matrix. We decompose  $A$  into four blocks by writing

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A_{11}, A_{22}$  are respectively  $p \times p$  and  $(n-p) \times (n-p)$  matrices. We then define for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the perturbed matrix,

$$A_\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^2 A_{11} & \varepsilon A_{12} \\ \varepsilon A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us consider the following elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} A_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \langle f, v \rangle, \\ u_\varepsilon \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$$f \in L^2(\Omega).$$



When  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  the candidate limit of  $u_\varepsilon$  is  $u_0 = u_0(\mathbf{X}_1, \cdot)$  defined for a.e.  $\mathbf{X}_1 \in \Pi_\Omega$  as solution to  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{X}_1}} A_{22} \nabla_{X_2} u_0(\mathbf{X}_1, X_2) \cdot \nabla_{X_2} v(X_2) dX_2 = \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{X}_1}} f(\mathbf{X}_1, X_2) v(X_2) dX_2 \\ u_0(\mathbf{X}_1, \cdot) \in H_0^1(\Omega_{\mathbf{X}_1}). \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

When  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  the candidate limit of  $u_\varepsilon$  is  $u_0 = u_0(\mathbf{X}_1, \cdot)$  defined for a.e.  $\mathbf{X}_1 \in \Pi_\Omega$  as solution to  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{X}_1}} A_{22} \nabla_{X_2} u_0(\mathbf{X}_1, X_2) \cdot \nabla_{X_2} v(X_2) dX_2 = \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{X}_1}} f(\mathbf{X}_1, X_2) v(X_2) dX_2 \\ u_0(\mathbf{X}_1, \cdot) \in H_0^1(\Omega_{\mathbf{X}_1}). \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

We now have to precise in which sense the convergence  $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u_0$  will take place.

When  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  the candidate limit of  $u_\varepsilon$  is  $u_0 = u_0(\mathbf{X}_1, \cdot)$  defined for a.e.  $\mathbf{X}_1 \in \Pi_\Omega$  as solution to  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{X}_1}} A_{22} \nabla_{X_2} u_0(\mathbf{X}_1, X_2) \cdot \nabla_{X_2} v(X_2) dX_2 = \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{X}_1}} f(\mathbf{X}_1, X_2) v(X_2) dX_2 \\ u_0(\mathbf{X}_1, \cdot) \in H_0^1(\Omega_{\mathbf{X}_1}). \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

We now have to precise in which sense the convergence  $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u_0$  will take place.

## Theorem

*We have*

$$\varepsilon \nabla_{X_1} u_\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0, \quad u_\varepsilon \longrightarrow u_0, \quad \nabla_{X_2} u_\varepsilon \longrightarrow \nabla_{X_2} u_0 \text{ in } L^2(\Omega).$$

**Proof:**

**Proof:**

▼  $u_\varepsilon, |\varepsilon \nabla_{x_1} u_\varepsilon|, |\nabla_{x_2} u_\varepsilon|$  are bounded in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

**Proof:**

- ▼  $u_\varepsilon, |\varepsilon \nabla_{X_1} u_\varepsilon|, |\nabla_{X_2} u_\varepsilon|$  are bounded in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .
- ▼  $u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u_0, \nabla_{X_2} u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \nabla_{X_2} u_0$  and  $\varepsilon \nabla_{X_1} u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup 0$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

**Proof:**

- ▼  $u_\varepsilon, |\varepsilon \nabla_{X_1} u_\varepsilon|, |\nabla_{X_2} u_\varepsilon|$  are bounded in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .
- ▼  $u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u_0, \nabla_{X_2} u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \nabla_{X_2} u_0$  and  $\varepsilon \nabla_{X_1} u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup 0$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .
- ▼ Passing to the limit, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} A_{22} \nabla_{X_2} u_0 \cdot \nabla_{X_2} v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

**Proof:**

- ▼  $u_\varepsilon, |\varepsilon \nabla_{X_1} u_\varepsilon|, |\nabla_{X_2} u_\varepsilon|$  are bounded in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .
- ▼  $u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u_0, \nabla_{X_2} u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \nabla_{X_2} u_0$  and  $\varepsilon \nabla_{X_1} u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup 0$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .
- ▼ Passing to the limit, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} A_{22} \nabla_{X_2} u_0 \cdot \nabla_{X_2} v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

- ▼ We can show

$$I_\varepsilon = \int_{\Omega} A_\varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{X_1} u_\varepsilon \\ \nabla_{X_2}(u_\varepsilon - u_0) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{X_1} u_\varepsilon \\ \nabla_{X_2}(u_\varepsilon - u_0) \end{pmatrix} dx \rightarrow 0. \quad (3)$$



**Proof:**

- ▼  $u_\varepsilon, |\varepsilon \nabla_{X_1} u_\varepsilon|, |\nabla_{X_2} u_\varepsilon|$  are bounded in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .
- ▼  $u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u_0, \nabla_{X_2} u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \nabla_{X_2} u_0$  and  $\varepsilon \nabla_{X_1} u_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .
- ▼ Passing to the limit, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} A_{22} \nabla_{X_2} u_0 \cdot \nabla_{X_2} v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

- ▼ We can show

$$I_\varepsilon = \int_{\Omega} A_\varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{X_1} u_\varepsilon \\ \nabla_{X_2}(u_\varepsilon - u_0) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{X_1} u_\varepsilon \\ \nabla_{X_2}(u_\varepsilon - u_0) \end{pmatrix} dx \rightarrow 0. \quad (3)$$

- ▼ It follows that  $\varepsilon \nabla_{X_1} u_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,  
 $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u_0 \quad \nabla_{X_2} u_\varepsilon \rightarrow \nabla_{X_2} u_0 \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega).$

$$\Omega = \omega_1 \times \omega_2 \text{ où } \omega_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^p, \omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-p}. \Omega_{X_1} = \omega_2, \Omega_{X_2} = \omega_2$$

$\Omega = \omega_1 \times \omega_2$  où  $\omega_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $\omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$ .  $\Omega_{X_1} = \omega_2$ ,  $\Omega_{X_2} = \omega_2$  and the problem (2) can be written, for all  $v \in H_0^1(\omega_2)$

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\omega_2} A_{22}(X_1, X_2) \nabla_{X_2} u_0 \cdot \nabla_{X_2} v \, dX_2 = \int_{\omega_2} f(X_1, X_2) v \, dX_2 \\ u_0(X_1, \cdot) \in H_0^1(\omega_2). \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

$\Omega = \omega_1 \times \omega_2$  où  $\omega_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $\omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$ .  $\Omega_{X_1} = \omega_2$ ,  $\Omega_{X_2} = \omega_2$  and the problem (2) can be written, for all  $v \in H_0^1(\omega_2)$

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\omega_2} A_{22}(X_1, X_2) \nabla_{X_2} u_0 \cdot \nabla_{X_2} v \, dX_2 = \int_{\omega_2} f(X_1, X_2) v \, dX_2 \\ u_0(X_1, \cdot) \in H_0^1(\omega_2). \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Note that

$$u_0 \notin H_0^1(\Omega) \implies \nabla_{X_1} u_\varepsilon \not\rightharpoonup \nabla_{X_1} u_0. \quad (5)$$

$\Omega = \omega_1 \times \omega_2$  où  $\omega_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $\omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$ .  $\Omega_{X_1} = \omega_2$ ,  $\Omega_{X_2} = \omega_2$  and the problem (2) can be written, for all  $v \in H_0^1(\omega_2)$

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\omega_2} A_{22}(X_1, X_2) \nabla_{X_2} u_0 \cdot \nabla_{X_2} v \, dX_2 = \int_{\omega_2} f(X_1, X_2) v \, dX_2 \\ u_0(X_1, \cdot) \in H_0^1(\omega_2). \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Note that

$$u_0 \notin H_0^1(\Omega) \implies \nabla_{X_1} u_\varepsilon \not\rightharpoonup \nabla_{X_1} u_0. \quad (5)$$

Nevertheless, we can show that  $(\omega'_1 \subset\subset \omega_1)$

$$|u_\varepsilon - u_0|_{L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)}, \quad |\nabla_{X_2}(u_\varepsilon - u_0)|_{L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon),$$

$$\nabla_{X_1} u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \nabla_{X_1} u_0 \text{ weakly in } L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2).$$

$\Omega = \omega_1 \times \omega_2$  où  $\omega_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $\omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$ .  $\Omega_{X_1} = \omega_2$ ,  $\Omega_{X_2} = \omega_2$  and the problem (2) can be written, for all  $v \in H_0^1(\omega_2)$

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\omega_2} A_{22}(X_1, X_2) \nabla_{X_2} u_0 \cdot \nabla_{X_2} v \, dX_2 = \int_{\omega_2} f(X_1, X_2) v \, dX_2 \\ u_0(X_1, \cdot) \in H_0^1(\omega_2). \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Note that

$$u_0 \notin H_0^1(\Omega) \implies \nabla_{X_1} u_\varepsilon \not\rightarrow \nabla_{X_1} u_0. \quad (5)$$

Nevertheless, we can show that  $(\omega'_1 \subset \subset \omega_1)$

$$\begin{aligned} |u_\varepsilon - u_0|_{L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)}, \quad |\nabla_{X_2}(u_\varepsilon - u_0)|_{L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)} &= \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon), \\ \nabla_{X_1} u_\varepsilon &\rightharpoonup \nabla_{X_1} u_0 \text{ weakly in } L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover if we suppose that  $A_{12} = A_{21}^T = 0$ , Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u_\varepsilon - u_0|_{L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)}, \quad |\nabla_{X_2}(u_\varepsilon - u_0)|_{L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)} &= \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon), \\ |\nabla_{X_1}(u_\varepsilon - u_0)|_{L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)} &= \mathcal{O}(1), \quad u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u_0 \text{ in } H^1(\Omega'). \end{aligned}$$

Convergence results

○○○○●

Asymptotic Expansion

○○○○○○○○

Correctors

Abstract Singular Perturbations

○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

Cylindrical domains

A necessary and sufficient condition to obtain the above convergence

$$\int_{\Omega} A_{12} \nabla_{x_2} u_0 \cdot \nabla_{x_1} v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} A_{21} \nabla_{x_1} u_0 \cdot \nabla_{x_2} v \, dx = 0 \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (6)$$



A necessary and sufficient condition to obtain the above convergence

$$\int_{\Omega} A_{12} \nabla_{x_2} u_0 \cdot \nabla_{x_1} v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} A_{21} \nabla_{x_1} u_0 \cdot \nabla_{x_2} v \, dx = 0 \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (6)$$

For example if  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  we have

$$u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u_0 \text{ in } H^1(\Omega') \iff u_0 \text{ is independent of } x_1.$$

A necessary and sufficient condition to obtain the above convergence

$$\int_{\Omega} A_{12} \nabla_{x_2} u_0 \cdot \nabla_{x_1} v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} A_{21} \nabla_{x_1} u_0 \cdot \nabla_{x_2} v \, dx = 0 \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (6)$$

For example if  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  we have

$$u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u_0 \text{ in } H^1(\Omega') \iff u_0 \text{ is independent of } x_1.$$

If  $u_0 = u_0(X_2)$ , the hypothesis (7) can be reduced to

$$\int_{\Omega} A_{12} \nabla_{x_2} u_0 \cdot \nabla_{x_1} v \, dx = 0 \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Moreover

$$|u_\varepsilon - u_0|_{H^1(\Omega')} \leq C e^{-\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon}}.$$

A rate of convergence as

$$u_\varepsilon - u_0 = o(\varepsilon) \quad \text{in } L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2), \quad \omega'_1 \subset\subset \omega_1$$

A rate of convergence as

$$u_\varepsilon - u_0 = o(\varepsilon) \quad \text{in } L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2), \quad \omega'_1 \subset\subset \omega_1$$

can not take place if

$$\nabla_{x_1} \cdot A_{12} \nabla_{x_2} u_0 + \nabla_{x_2} \cdot A_{21} \nabla_{x_1} u_0 \neq 0 \quad \text{on } \omega_1 \times \omega_2. \quad (7)$$

A rate of convergence as

$$u_\varepsilon - u_0 = o(\varepsilon) \quad \text{in } L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2), \quad \omega'_1 \subset\subset \omega_1$$

can not take place if

$$\nabla_{X_1} \cdot A_{12} \nabla_{X_2} u_0 + \nabla_{X_2} \cdot A_{21} \nabla_{X_1} u_0 \neq 0 \quad \text{on } \omega_1 \times \omega_2. \quad (7)$$

In order to improve the rate of convergence, we consider an approximation  $w_\varepsilon$  of  $u_\varepsilon$  depending on  $\varepsilon$  expressed as a polynomial in  $\varepsilon$  i.e.

$$w_\varepsilon^N = u_0 + \varepsilon u_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon^N u_N.$$

Formally, if we substitute the asymptotic expansion into (1), we then deduce that the coefficient  $u_N$  are solutions, for a.e.

$X_1 \in \omega_1$ , to

Formally, if we substitute the asymptotic expansion into (1), we then deduce that the coefficient  $u_N$  are solutions, for a.e.

$X_1 \in \omega_1$ , to

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla_{X_2} \cdot (A_{22} \nabla_{X_2} u_0(X_1, \cdot)) = f(X_1, \cdot) & \text{in } \omega_2, \\ u_0(X_1, \cdot) \in H_0^1(\omega_2), \end{cases}$$

Formally, if we substitute the asymptotic expansion into (1), we then deduce that the coefficient  $u_N$  are solutions, for a.e.

$X_1 \in \omega_1$ , to

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla_{X_2} \cdot (A_{22} \nabla_{X_2} u_0(X_1, \cdot)) = f(X_1, \cdot) & \text{in } \omega_2, \\ u_0(X_1, \cdot) \in H_0^1(\omega_2), \end{cases}$$

and for  $N \geq 1$

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla_{X_2} \cdot (A_{22} \nabla_{X_2} u_N(X_1, \cdot)) = & \nabla_{X_1} \cdot (A_{11} \nabla_{X_1} u_{N-2}(X_1, \cdot)) \\ & + \nabla_{X_1} \cdot (A_{12} \nabla_{X_2} u_{N-1}(X_1, \cdot)) \\ & + \nabla_{X_2} \cdot (A_{21} \nabla_{X_1} u_{N-1}(X_1, \cdot)) & \text{in } \omega_2, \\ u_N(X_1, \cdot) \in H_0^1(\omega_2). \end{cases}$$

$(u_{-1} = 0)$



In order to define  $w_\epsilon$  as function we need the following regularity theorem.

## Theorem

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $g \in L^2(\Omega)$  such that

$$D_{X_1}^m g \in L^2(\Omega), \quad D_{X_1}^m A_{22} \in L^\infty(\Omega).$$

*The elliptic boundary problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla_{X_2} \cdot (A_{22} \nabla_{X_2} u(X_1, \cdot)) = g(X_1, \cdot) & \text{in } \omega_2, \\ u(X_1, \cdot) \in H_0^1(\omega_2). \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

*has a unique solution  $u$  satisfying*

$$D_{X_1}^m u, \quad D_{X_1}^m (\nabla_{X_2} u) \in L^2(\Omega). \quad (9)$$

( $D_{X_i}^m$  denotes the mixed derivatives in  $X_i$  of order up to  $m$ ).

To ensure the existence of  $u_N$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$  we need

$$D_{X_1}^2 A_{11}, D_{X_1}^2 A_{12}, D_{X_1}^1 A_{22} \in L^\infty(\Omega),$$

$$D_{X_1}^3 u_{N-2}, D_{X_1}^2 u_{N-1}, D_{X_1}^2 D_{X_2}^1 u_{N-1} \in L^2(\Omega).$$

Applying the above theorem many times we deduce that this leads to

To ensure the existence of  $u_N$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$  we need

$$\begin{aligned} D_{X_1}^2 A_{11}, D_{X_1}^2 A_{12}, D_{X_1}^1 A_{22} &\in L^\infty(\Omega), \\ D_{X_1}^3 u_{N-2}, D_{X_1}^2 u_{N-1}, D_{X_1}^2 D_{X_2}^1 u_{N-1} &\in L^2(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the above theorem many times we deduce that this leads to

$$\begin{aligned} D_{X_1}^N A_{11}, D_{X_1}^{N+1} A_{12}, D_{X_1}^N A_{21}, D_{X_1}^N A_{22} &\in L^\infty(\Omega), \\ D_{X_1}^{N+1} u_0, D_{X_1}^{N+1} D_{X_2} u_0 &\in L^2(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

To ensure the existence of  $u_N$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$  we need

$$\begin{aligned} D_{X_1}^2 A_{11}, D_{X_1}^2 A_{12}, D_{X_1}^1 A_{22} &\in L^\infty(\Omega), \\ D_{X_1}^3 u_{N-2}, D_{X_1}^2 u_{N-1}, D_{X_1}^2 D_{X_2}^1 u_{N-1} &\in L^2(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the above theorem many times we deduce that this leads to

$$\begin{aligned} D_{X_1}^N A_{11}, D_{X_1}^{N+1} A_{12}, D_{X_1}^N A_{21}, D_{X_1}^N A_{22} &\in L^\infty(\Omega), \\ D_{X_1}^{N+1} u_0, D_{X_1}^{N+1} D_{X_2} u_0 &\in L^2(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$D_{X_1}^N A_{11}, D_{X_1}^{N+1} A_{12}, D_{X_1}^N A_{21}, D_{X_1}^{N+1} A_{22} \in L^\infty(\Omega), \quad D_{X_1}^{N+1} f \in L^2(\Omega). \quad (10)$$

This implies that

$$D_{X_1}^N A_{11}, D_{X_1}^{N+1} A_{12}, D_{X_1}^N A_{21}, D_{X_1}^{N+1} A_{22} \in L^\infty(\Omega), \quad D_{X_1}^{N+1} f \in L^2(\Omega). \quad (10)$$

We set  $R_N(\cdot; \varepsilon) = u_\varepsilon - \sum_{i=0}^N \varepsilon^i u_i$ .

### Theorem

*For any  $\omega'_1 \subset\subset \omega_1$ , it holds that, when  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , in  $L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)$ ,*

$$R_N(\cdot; \varepsilon), \nabla_{X_2} R_N(\cdot; \varepsilon) = O\left(\varepsilon^{N+1}\right), \quad \nabla_{X_1} R_N(\cdot; \varepsilon) = O\left(\varepsilon^N\right). \quad (11)$$

This implies that

$$D_{X_1}^N A_{11}, D_{X_1}^{N+1} A_{12}, D_{X_1}^N A_{21}, D_{X_1}^{N+1} A_{22} \in L^\infty(\Omega), \quad D_{X_1}^{N+1} f \in L^2(\Omega). \quad (10)$$

We set  $R_N(\cdot; \varepsilon) = u_\varepsilon - \sum_{i=0}^N \varepsilon^i u_i$ .

### Theorem

*For any  $\omega'_1 \subset\subset \omega_1$ , it holds that, when  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , in  $L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)$ ,*

$$R_N(\cdot; \varepsilon), \nabla_{X_2} R_N(\cdot; \varepsilon) = O\left(\varepsilon^{N+1}\right), \quad \nabla_{X_1} R_N(\cdot; \varepsilon) = O\left(\varepsilon^N\right). \quad (11)$$

This implies that

$$D_{X_1}^N A_{11}, D_{X_1}^{N+1} A_{12}, D_{X_1}^N A_{21}, D_{X_1}^{N+1} A_{22} \in L^\infty(\Omega), \quad D_{X_1}^{N+1} f \in L^2(\Omega). \quad (10)$$

We set  $R_N(\cdot; \varepsilon) = u_\varepsilon - \sum_{i=0}^N \varepsilon^i u_i$ .

### Theorem

*For any  $\omega'_1 \subset\subset \omega_1$ , it holds that, when  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , in  $L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)$ ,*

$$R_N(\cdot; \varepsilon), \nabla_{X_2} R_N(\cdot; \varepsilon) = O\left(\varepsilon^{N+1}\right), \quad \nabla_{X_1} R_N(\cdot; \varepsilon) = O\left(\varepsilon^N\right). \quad (11)$$

The tool:

$$\text{supp } \rho \subset \omega_1, \quad \rho = 1 \text{ on } \omega'_1, \quad 0 \leq \varrho \leq 1 \text{ et } |\nabla_{X_1} \varrho| \leq C. \quad (12)$$



This implies that

$$D_{X_1}^N A_{11}, D_{X_1}^{N+1} A_{12}, D_{X_1}^N A_{21}, D_{X_1}^{N+1} A_{22} \in L^\infty(\Omega), \quad D_{X_1}^{N+1} f \in L^2(\Omega). \quad (10)$$

We set  $R_N(\cdot; \varepsilon) = u_\varepsilon - \sum_{i=0}^N \varepsilon^i u_i$ .

### Theorem

*For any  $\omega'_1 \subset\subset \omega_1$ , it holds that, when  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , in  $L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)$ ,*

$$R_N(\cdot; \varepsilon), \nabla_{X_2} R_N(\cdot; \varepsilon) = O\left(\varepsilon^{N+1}\right), \quad \nabla_{X_1} R_N(\cdot; \varepsilon) = O\left(\varepsilon^N\right). \quad (11)$$

The tool:

$$\text{supp } \rho \subset \omega_1, \quad \rho = 1 \text{ on } \omega'_1, \quad 0 \leq \varrho \leq 1 \text{ et } |\nabla_{X_1} \varrho| \leq C. \quad (12)$$

Then testing with  $v = \rho^2 R_\varepsilon \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,

Suppose that (10) holds for  $N+1$ ,

$$D_{X_1}^{N+1} A_{11}, D_{X_1}^{N+1+1} A_{12}, D_{X_1}^{N+1} A_{21}, D_{X_1}^{N+1+1} A_{22} \in L^\infty(\Omega),$$

$$D_{X_1}^{N+1+1} f \in L^2(\Omega).$$

Suppose that (10) holds for  $N + 1$ , then in particular we have

$$|\nabla_{X_1} R_{N+1}(\cdot; \varepsilon)|_{L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)} = O\left(\varepsilon^{N+1}\right). \quad (13)$$

Suppose that (10) holds for  $N + 1$ , then in particular we have

$$|\nabla_{X_1} R_{N+1}(\cdot; \varepsilon)|_{L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)} = O\left(\varepsilon^{N+1}\right). \quad (13)$$

This implies

$$\nabla_{X_1} R_N(\cdot; \varepsilon) = \nabla_{X_1} R_{N+1}(\cdot; \varepsilon) + \varepsilon^{N+1} \nabla_{X_1} u_{N+1} = O\left(\varepsilon^{N+1}\right)$$

Suppose that (10) holds for  $N + 1$ , then in particular we have

$$|\nabla_{X_1} R_{N+1}(\cdot; \varepsilon)|_{L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)} = O(\varepsilon^{N+1}). \quad (13)$$

This implies

$$\nabla_{X_1} R_N(\cdot; \varepsilon) = \nabla_{X_1} R_{N+1}(\cdot; \varepsilon) + \varepsilon^{N+1} \nabla_{X_1} u_{N+1} = O(\varepsilon^{N+1})$$

Then we end up with

$$|R_N(\cdot; \varepsilon)|_{H^1(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)} = O(\varepsilon^{N+1}). \quad (14)$$

## Theorem

*Consider the following assertions, for any  $\omega'_1 \subset\subset \omega_1$ ,*

## Theorem

Consider the following assertions, for any  $\omega'_1 \subset\subset \omega_1$ ,

i)  $u_{N+1} = 0$ ,

ii) the following condition holds,

$$\nabla_{X_1} \cdot (A_{11} \nabla_{X_1} u_{N-1}) + \nabla_{X_1} \cdot (A_{12} \nabla_{X_2} u_N) + \nabla_{X_2} \cdot (A_{21} \nabla_{X_1} u_N) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad (15)$$

iii) as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N+1}} \left[ u_\varepsilon - (u_0 + \varepsilon u_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon^N u_N) \right] \rightharpoonup 0 \text{ in } L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2),$$

iv) as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$u_\varepsilon - (u_0 + \varepsilon u_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon^N u_N) = O(\varepsilon^{N+2}) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{V}(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2),$$

## Theorem

**v)**  $u_{N+1} = 0, \quad u_{N+2} = 0,$

**vi)** *the conditions (??) and the following hold*

$$\nabla_{X_1} \cdot (A_{11} \nabla_{X_1} u_N) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega).$$

**vii)**  $u_k = 0, \quad \forall k > N,$

**viii)** *as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,*

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N+2}} \nabla_{X_2} \left[ u_\varepsilon - (u_0 + \varepsilon u_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon^N u_N) \right] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)$$

**ix)** *as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and for some  $\eta > 0$ ,*

$$u_\varepsilon - (u_0 + \varepsilon u_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon^N u_N) = O\left(\exp\left(\frac{-\eta}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \quad \text{in } H^1(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2).$$



## Theorem

*Then we have*

$$i) \Leftrightarrow ii) \Leftrightarrow iii) \Leftrightarrow iv) \Leftarrow v) \Leftrightarrow vi) \Leftrightarrow vii) \Leftrightarrow viii) \Leftrightarrow ix).$$

If  $A$  is a diagonal matrix by block

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

If  $A$  is a diagonal matrix by block

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

and for  $N \geq 1$

$$-\nabla_{X_2} \cdot (A_{22} \nabla_{X_2} u_N(X_1, \cdot)) = \nabla_{X_1} \cdot (A_{11} \nabla_{X_1} u_{N-2}(X_1, \cdot)) \quad \text{in } \omega_2.$$

If  $A$  is a diagonal matrix by block

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$u_{2k+1} = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If  $A$  is a diagonal matrix by block

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$u_{2k+1} = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |R_{2N}(\cdot; \varepsilon)|_{L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)}, \quad |\nabla_{X_2} R_{2N}(\cdot; \varepsilon)|_{L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)} &= O(\varepsilon^{2N+2}), \\ |\nabla_{X_1} R_{2N}(\cdot; \varepsilon)|_{L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)} &= O(\varepsilon^{2N+1}). \end{aligned}$$

If  $A$  is a diagonal matrix by block

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$u_{2k+1} = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |R_{2N}(\cdot; \varepsilon)|_{L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)}, \quad |\nabla_{x_2} R_{2N}(\cdot; \varepsilon)|_{L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)} &= O(\varepsilon^{2N+2}), \\ |\nabla_{x_1} R_{2N}(\cdot; \varepsilon)|_{L^2(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)} &= O(\varepsilon^{2N+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if we replace in (10),  $2N$  by  $2N + 2$

$$|R_{2N}(\cdot; \varepsilon)|_{H^1(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)} = O(\varepsilon^{2N+2}). \quad (15)$$

We suppose that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}(X_1, X_2) & A_{12}(X_2) \\ A_{12}(X_2) & A_{22}(X_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

We suppose that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}(X_1, X_2) & A_{12}(X_2) \\ A_{12}(X_2) & A_{22}(X_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A_{11}$  is a first order polynomial in  $X_1$  and  $f$  is a polynomial of degree  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.

$$f(X_1, X_2) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} X_1^\alpha f_\alpha(X_2), \quad f_\alpha \in L^2(\omega_2).$$



We suppose that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}(X_1, X_2) & A_{12}(X_2) \\ A_{12}(X_2) & A_{22}(X_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A_{11}$  is a first order polynomial in  $X_1$  and  $f$  is a polynomial of degree  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.

$$f(X_1, X_2) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} X_1^\alpha f_\alpha(X_2), \quad f_\alpha \in L^2(\omega_2).$$

Under the above assumptions, there exist constants  $C, \alpha > 0$  independents of  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$|R_{2k}(\cdot; \varepsilon)|_{H^1(\omega'_1 \times \omega_2)} \leq C \varepsilon^{-\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon}}. \quad (16)$$

Formally, if we substitute the asymptotic expansion into (1), we then deduce that the coefficient  $u_N$  are solutions, for a.e.

$X_1 \in \omega_1$ , to

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla_{X_2} \cdot (A_{22} \nabla_{X_2} u_0(X_1, \cdot)) = f(X_1, \cdot) & \text{in } \omega_2, \\ u_0(X_1, \cdot) \in H_0^1(\omega_2), \end{cases}$$

and for  $N \geq 1$

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla_{X_2} \cdot (A_{22} \nabla_{X_2} u_N(X_1, \cdot)) = & \nabla_{X_1} \cdot (A_{11} \nabla_{X_1} u_{N-2}(X_1, \cdot)) \\ & + \nabla_{X_1} \cdot (A_{12} \nabla_{X_2} u_{N-1}(X_1, \cdot)) \\ & + \nabla_{X_2} \cdot (A_{21} \nabla_{X_1} u_{N-1}(X_1, \cdot)) & \text{in } \omega_2, \\ u_N(X_1, \cdot) \in H_0^1(\omega_2). \end{cases}$$

$(u_{-1} = 0)$

We consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon^2 \partial_{X_1}^2 u_\varepsilon - \Delta_{X_2} u_\varepsilon = f & \text{in } \tilde{\Omega} = (0, 1) \times \omega, \\ u_\varepsilon = 0 & \text{on } \partial\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \{0\} \times \omega, \quad \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial X_1} = 0 & \text{on } \{0\} \times \omega. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

We have

$$u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } H^1((0, 1 - \alpha) \times \omega)$$

The aim next is to describe the behaviour of  $u_\varepsilon$  near the section  $\{1\} \times \omega$  :

$$u_\varepsilon - u_0 - w_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (w_\varepsilon \text{ is a corrector})$$

We consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon^2 \partial_{X_1}^2 u_\varepsilon - \Delta_{X_2} u_\varepsilon = f & \text{in } \tilde{\Omega} = (0, 1) \times \omega, \\ u_\varepsilon = 0 & \text{on } \partial\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \{0\} \times \omega, \quad \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial X_1} = 0 & \text{on } \{0\} \times \omega. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

We have

$$u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } H^1((0, 1 - \alpha) \times \omega)$$

The aim next is to describe the behaviour of  $u_\varepsilon$  near the section  $\{1\} \times \omega$  :

$$u_\varepsilon - u_0 - w_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (w_\varepsilon \text{ is a corrector})$$

It is clear that  $w_\varepsilon$  has to satisfy

$$w_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H_0^1((0, 1 - \alpha) \times \omega) \text{ et } w_\varepsilon = -u_0 \text{ on } \{1\} \times \omega.$$

The choice of the corrector is based on:

### Lemma

*Si  $w$  is a solution to*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta w = 0 & \text{in } (0, +\infty) \times \omega, \\ w = -u_0 & \text{on } \{0\} \times \omega, \quad w = 0 & \text{on } (0, +\infty) \times \partial\omega, \end{cases}$$

*There exists  $C > 0$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that*

$$\int_{S_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}} |\nabla w|^2 dx \leq C e^{-\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon}} \int_{S_0} |\nabla w|^2 dx, \quad S_\ell = (\ell, +\infty) \times \omega.$$

The corrector is defined by

$$w_\varepsilon(X_1, X_2) = w\left(\frac{1 - X_1}{\varepsilon}, X_2\right).$$

By consequence, we have

### Theorem

If  $f \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega})$ ,  $\partial_{X_1} f \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega})$ , then

$$|u_\varepsilon - u_0 - w_\varepsilon|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega})}, \quad |\nabla_{X_2}(u_\varepsilon - u_0 - w_\varepsilon)|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega})} = o(\varepsilon),$$

$$|\partial_{X_1}(u_\varepsilon - u_0 - w_\varepsilon)|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega})} = o(1).$$

Moreover, if  $u_0$  is independent of  $X_1$  we obtain

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} |\nabla(u_\varepsilon - u_0 - w_\varepsilon)|^2 dx \leq C e^{-\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon}}.$$

$$-\varepsilon \partial_{x_1}^2 u_\varepsilon - \partial_{x_2}^2 u_\varepsilon = f.$$

$$-\varepsilon \partial_{x_1}^2 u_\varepsilon - \partial_{x_2}^2 u_\varepsilon = f.$$

An abstract approach to this theory is given in (E. Sanchez-Palencia 1992, S. Zhang 2006) where the following operator equation is considered

$$\varepsilon A u_\varepsilon + B u_\varepsilon = f.$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are linear operators defined on Hilbert spaces.



There are also some previous works on singular perturbations of variational inequalities (Lions, Stampacchia 1968, Stampacchia 1969)

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \langle Au_\varepsilon, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle_V + \langle Bu_\varepsilon, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle_W \geq \langle f, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle_{V \cap W}, \quad \forall v \in K, \\ u_\varepsilon \in K, \end{cases}$$

$A: V \rightarrow V', B: W \rightarrow W'$ : monotone operators  $V \subset W$ .

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \langle Au_\varepsilon, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle_V + \langle Bu_\varepsilon, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle_W \geq \langle f, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle_{V \cap W}, \quad \forall v \in K, \\ u_\varepsilon \in K, \end{cases}$$

$A : V \rightarrow V'$ ,  $B : W \rightarrow W'$ : monotone, bounded, coercive and hemicontinuous.

$(V, |\cdot|_V)$  et  $(W, |\cdot|_W)$  : (separable, reflexive Banach spaces),  
 $\overline{V \cap W} = V, W$ .

$K \neq \emptyset$ : a closed convex set of  $V \cap W$  :

$f \in (V \cap W)'$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a solution  $u_\varepsilon \in K$ .

## Theorem

*Suppose that  $f \in W'$  and let  $u_\varepsilon$  be solution to (??). Then we have when  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$*

$$(i) \ u_\varepsilon \text{ is bounded in } W \text{ independently of } \varepsilon, \quad (18)$$

$$(ii) \ \varepsilon u_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } V, \quad (19)$$

$$(iii) \ \varepsilon A u_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } V', \quad (20)$$

$$(iv) \ \langle \varepsilon A u_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon \rangle_V \rightarrow 0. \quad (21)$$

$u_\varepsilon$  is bounded in  $W$ ,

Suppose that  $|u_\varepsilon - v_0|_W$  is unbounded. For some sequence  $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$  one has then

$$|u_{\varepsilon_k} - v_0|_W \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Taking  $v = v_0$  in the variational equation, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_k \langle Au_{\varepsilon_k}, u_{\varepsilon_k} - v_0 \rangle_V + \langle Bu_{\varepsilon_k}, u_{\varepsilon_k} - v_0 \rangle_W &\leq \langle f, u_{\varepsilon_k} - v_0 \rangle_W \\ &\leq |f|_{W'} |u_{\varepsilon_k} - v_0|_W. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\varepsilon_k \langle Au_{\varepsilon_k}, u_{\varepsilon_k} - v_0 \rangle_V}{|u_{\varepsilon_k} - v_0|_W} + \frac{\langle Bu_{\varepsilon_k}, u_{\varepsilon_k} - v_0 \rangle_W}{|u_{\varepsilon_k} - v_0|_W} \leq |f|_{W'}. \quad (22)$$

This is impossible.

$\varepsilon u_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in  $V$ ,

Since

$$\varepsilon \langle Au_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon - v_0 \rangle_V \leq C \quad (23)$$

for some constant  $C$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ . If  $(u_\varepsilon - v_0)$  is not bounded in  $V$ , we have -up to a subsequence-

$$\varepsilon |u_\varepsilon - v_0|_V \leq C \frac{|u_\varepsilon - v_0|_V}{\langle Au_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon - v_0 \rangle_V} \rightarrow 0$$

$\langle \varepsilon Au_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon \rangle_V \rightarrow 0$ .

From the monotonicity of  $A$  we have

$$\varepsilon \langle Au_\varepsilon, v \rangle_V \leq \varepsilon \langle Au_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon \rangle_V + \langle Av, \varepsilon (v - u_\varepsilon) \rangle_V. \quad (24)$$

and from the variational inequality we get

$$\varepsilon \langle Au_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon \rangle_V \leq \langle \varepsilon Au_\varepsilon, v_0 \rangle_V + C.$$

Thus,

$$\varepsilon \langle Au_\varepsilon, v - v_0 \rangle_V \leq C + \langle Av, \varepsilon(v - u_\varepsilon) \rangle_V, \quad (25)$$

Choosing  $v \in v_0 + \mathcal{B}_1$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_1$  is the unit ball of  $V$ , we arrive to

$$\varepsilon \langle Au_\varepsilon, v_1 \rangle_V \leq C', \quad \forall v_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1,$$

and for some subsequence

$$\varepsilon Au_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \psi \text{ in } V'.$$

Passing to the limit in (25) we derive

$$\langle \psi, v - v_0 \rangle_V \leq C, \quad \forall v \in V$$

and thus  $\psi = 0$ .

For any  $v \in K$  we have by the variational inequality and the monotonicity of  $B$

$$\varepsilon \langle Au_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon \rangle_V \leq \langle \varepsilon Au_\varepsilon, v \rangle_V + \langle f, u_\varepsilon - v \rangle_W + \langle Bv, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle_W.$$

Let  $(\varepsilon_k)_k$  be a sequence such that

$$\varepsilon_k \langle Au_{\varepsilon_k}, u_{\varepsilon_k} \rangle_V \rightarrow \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \langle Au_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon \rangle_V, \quad u_{\varepsilon_k} \rightharpoonup \tilde{u} \text{ in } W.$$

Then passing to the limit

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \langle Au_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon \rangle_V \leq \langle f, \tilde{u} - v \rangle_W + \langle Bv, v - \tilde{u} \rangle_W, \quad \forall v \in K. \quad (26)$$

Since  $K$  is convex, there exists a sequence  $v_n \in K$  such that  $v_n \rightarrow \tilde{u}$ . Thus

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \langle Au_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon \rangle_V \leq 0.$$

Thanks to the monotonicity

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \langle Au_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon \rangle_V \geq 0.$$

$\varepsilon Au_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup 0$  in  $V'$ .

For every  $v_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \langle Au_\varepsilon, v_1 \rangle_V &\leq \varepsilon \langle Au_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon \rangle_V + |Av_1|_{V'} (\varepsilon + |\varepsilon u_\varepsilon|_V) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \langle Au_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon \rangle_V + C (\varepsilon + |\varepsilon u_\varepsilon|_V) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$



When  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , if  $u_{\varepsilon_k} \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}$  in  $W$ , then  $u$  is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \langle B\tilde{u}, v - \tilde{u} \rangle_W \geq \langle f, v - \tilde{u} \rangle_W, & \forall v \in \bar{K}^W, \\ \tilde{u} \in \bar{K}^W. \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

and  $Bu_{\varepsilon_k} \rightharpoonup B\tilde{u}$  in  $W'$ ,  $\langle Bu_{\varepsilon_k}, u_{\varepsilon_k} \rangle_W \rightarrow \langle B\tilde{u}, \tilde{u} \rangle_W$ .

When  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , if  $u_{\varepsilon_k} \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}$  in  $W$ , then  $u$  is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \langle B\tilde{u}, v - \tilde{u} \rangle_W \geq \langle f, v - \tilde{u} \rangle_W, & \forall v \in \bar{K}^W, \\ \tilde{u} \in \bar{K}^W. \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

and  $Bu_{\varepsilon_k} \rightharpoonup B\tilde{u}$  in  $W'$ ,  $\langle Bu_{\varepsilon_k}, u_{\varepsilon_k} \rangle_W \rightarrow \langle B\tilde{u}, \tilde{u} \rangle_W$ . If  $B$  is strongly monotone in the sense that for some  $\delta > 0$  and  $\beta > 1$

$$\langle Bu - Bv, u - v \rangle_W \geq \delta |u - v|_W^\beta, \quad \forall v, u \in W \quad (28)$$

then the solution  $\tilde{u}$  of (27) is unique and one has

$$u_\varepsilon \rightarrow \tilde{u} \text{ in } W.$$

If the variational inequality (27) has a solution in  $V$ , then  $u_\varepsilon$  is bounded in  $V$  and there exists always a sequence  $u_{\varepsilon_k}$  such that

$$u_{\varepsilon_k} \rightharpoonup \tilde{u} \text{ in } V \text{ and } W, \quad (29)$$

where  $\tilde{u} \in K$  is solution to (27).

In addition if  $B$  satisfies (28), one has

$$|u_\varepsilon - \tilde{u}|_W = o\left(\varepsilon^{1/\beta}\right). \quad (30)$$

Let us consider the following variational inequality defined as

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla^{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla^{\varepsilon} (v_{\varepsilon} - u_{\varepsilon}) dx \geq \langle f, v_{\varepsilon} - u_{\varepsilon} \rangle_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)}, & \forall v_{\varepsilon} \in K_{\varepsilon}, \\ u_{\varepsilon} \in K_{\varepsilon} \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

where  $K_{\varepsilon} \neq \emptyset$  is a closed convex subset of  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We make the following standard assumptions: Carathéodory condition, Growth condition, Monotonicity, Coercivity.

### Theorem

*Assume in addition that there exists a sequence  $(w_{\varepsilon}) \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $w_{\varepsilon} \in K_{\varepsilon}$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , s.t.*

$$\varepsilon \nabla_{X_1} w_{\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{X_2} w_{\varepsilon} \quad \text{are bounded in } L^p(\Omega) \quad (32)$$

*independently of  $\varepsilon$ , then  $u_{\varepsilon}$  satisfies the same estimates.*

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \langle Au_\varepsilon, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle_V + \langle Bu_\varepsilon, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle_W \geq \langle f, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle_{V \cap W}, \quad \forall v \in K, \\ u_\varepsilon \in K, \end{cases}$$

**Examples:**

Isotopic S.P.

$$\begin{cases} u_\varepsilon \in K_0 = \{v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \mid v(x) \geq 0, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega\}, \\ \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} a(\nabla u_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla (v - u_\varepsilon) dx + \int_{\Omega} u_\varepsilon (v - u_\varepsilon) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} f (v - u_\varepsilon) dx, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \langle Au_\varepsilon, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle_V + \langle Bu_\varepsilon, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle_W \geq \langle f, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle_{V \cap W}, \quad \forall v \in K, \\ u_\varepsilon \in K, \end{cases}$$

## Examples:

Anisotropic S.P.

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon \Delta_{X_1} u_\varepsilon - \Delta_{X_2} u_\varepsilon + g(x, u_\varepsilon) = f & \text{dans } \Omega, \\ u_\varepsilon \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^p(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \langle Au_\varepsilon, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle_V + \langle Bu_\varepsilon, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle_W \geq \langle f, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle_{V \cap W}, \quad \forall v \in K, \\ u_\varepsilon \in K, \end{cases}$$

## Examples:

Anisotropic S.P.

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon \Delta_{p_1, X_1} u_\varepsilon - \Delta_{p_2, X_2} u_\varepsilon = f & \text{dans } \Omega, \\ u_\varepsilon = 0 & \text{sur } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The sets  $\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K_\varepsilon$  and  $\overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K_\varepsilon$  are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} w &\in \text{as-}\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K_\varepsilon \text{ iff} \\ \exists w_\varepsilon \in K_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \nabla_{X_1} w_\varepsilon, \nabla_{X_2} (w_\varepsilon - w) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^p(\Omega), \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} w &\in \text{aw-}\overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K_\varepsilon \text{ iff} \\ \exists w_{\varepsilon_k} \in K_{\varepsilon_k}, \varepsilon_k \nabla_{X_1} w_{\varepsilon_k}, \nabla_{X_2} (w_{\varepsilon_k} - w) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^p(\Omega), \text{ as } \varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We say that the sequence  $(K_\varepsilon)$  of subsets of  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  converges to  $\mathcal{K}$  ( $K_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{a} \mathcal{K}$ ), if

$$\text{aw-}\overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K_\varepsilon = \text{as-}\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K_\varepsilon = \mathcal{K}.$$

where  $\mathcal{K}$  is a closed convex set in

$$\mathcal{W}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega) \mid \nabla_{X_2} u \in [L^p(\Omega)]^{n-q}, \quad u(X_1, \cdot) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_{X_1}), \right.$$



## Theorem

Assume that  $K_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{a} \mathcal{K}$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , then -up to a subsequence- we have

$$u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \tilde{u} \quad \varepsilon \nabla_{x_1} u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup 0, \quad \nabla_{x_2} u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \nabla_{x_2} \tilde{u} \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega), \quad (33)$$

where  $\tilde{u}$  is a solution to

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla_{x_2} u) \cdot \nabla_{x_2} (v - u) \, dx \geq \langle f, v - u \rangle_{\mathcal{W}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K}, \\ u \in \mathcal{K} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if  $a$  is strongly monotone then the previous convergences hold strongly.

## Obstacle problems

For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , set

$$K_\varepsilon = \left\{ v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \mid v \geq \psi_\varepsilon \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \right\}$$

$\psi_\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Assume that

$$\varepsilon \nabla_{X_1} \psi_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad \nabla_{X_2} \psi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \nabla_{X_2} \psi_0 \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Then

$$K_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{a} \mathcal{K} := \{ v \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega) \mid v \geq \psi_0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \}.$$

## An elasto-plastic problem

We set

$$K_\varepsilon = K_{\beta_\varepsilon} := \left\{ v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \mid |\nabla^\varepsilon v| \leq \beta_\varepsilon \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \right\},$$

$\beta_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Assume that

$$\varepsilon \nabla_{x_1} \beta_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad \nabla_{x_2} \beta_\varepsilon \rightarrow \nabla_{x_2} \beta_0 \quad \text{in } L^\infty(\Omega) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

where  $\beta_0 > 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , then

$$K_{\beta_\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{a} \mathcal{K}_{\beta_0} := \left\{ v \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega) \mid |\nabla_{x_2} v| \leq \beta_0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \right\}.$$



S. Azouz, Guesmia,  
*Asymptotic development of anisotropic singular  
perturbation problems*, Asymptotic Analysis 100(3-4),  
(2016), 131-152.



B. Brighi and S. Guesmia,  
*Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of hyperbolic problems  
on a cylindrical domain*,  
in “Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.,” **2007**, Dynamical Systems  
and Differential Equations, Proceedings of the 6<sup>th</sup> AIMS  
International Conference, suppl., 160–169.



B. Brighi and S. Guesmia,  
*Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of hyperbolic problems  
on a cylindrical domain*,

in “Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.,” **2007**, Dynamical Systems and Differential Equations, Proceedings of the 6<sup>th</sup> AIMS International Conference, suppl., 160–169.



M. Chipot and S. Guesmia,  
*On the asymptotic behavior of elliptic, anisotropic singular perturbations problems,*  
Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., **8** (2009), 179–193.



M. Chipot and S. Guesmia,  
*Correctors for some asymptotic problems,*  
Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., **270** (2010), 263–277.



S. Guesmia and A. Sengouga,  
*Anisotropic singular perturbation of hyperbolic problems,*  
Appl. Math. Comput. **217** (2011), 8983–8996.